

## ON WEAKLY 2-ABSORBING IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

AYMAN BADAWI AND AHMAD YOUSEFIAN DARANI

Communicated by Manfred Dugas

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . Various generalizations of prime ideals have been studied. For example, a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is *weakly prime* if  $a, b \in R$  with  $0 \neq ab \in I$ , then either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Also a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be *2-absorbing* if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . In this paper, we introduce the concept of a *weakly 2-absorbing ideal*. A proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called a *weakly 2-absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . For example, every proper ideal of a quasi-local ring  $(R, M)$  with  $M^3 = \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . We show that a weakly 2-absorbing ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $I^3 \neq 0$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . We show that every proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal if and only if either  $R$  is a quasi-local ring with maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $M^3 = \{0\}$  or  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R_1 \times F$  where  $R_1$  is a quasi-local ring with maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $M^2 = \{0\}$  and  $F$  is a field or  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3$  for some fields  $F_1, F_2, F_3$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study weakly 2-absorbing ideals in commutative rings with identity, which are a generalization of weakly prime ideals. Recall that 2-absorbing ideals, which are a generalization of prime ideals, were introduced and investigated in [4] and most recently in [3]. Recall from [2] that a proper ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  is said to be a *weakly prime ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b \in R$  and  $0 \neq ab \in I$ , then either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Also recall from [4] that a proper ideal  $I$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13A05, 13F05.

*Key words and phrases.* Prime ideal, 2-absorbing ideal, weakly 2-absorbing ideal, weakly prime ideal, almost prime ideal,  $\phi$ -prime ideal.

of a commutative ring  $R$  is called a *2-absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . We define a proper ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  to be a *weakly 2-absorbing ideal* of  $R$  if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in I$ , then either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . In the second section of this paper, many basic properties of weakly 2-absorbing ideals are studied, and in the third section, we characterize all commutative rings with the property that all proper ideals are weakly 2-absorbing ideals.

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with  $1 \neq 0$ . Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $\text{Nil}(R)$  denotes the ideal of nilpotent elements of  $R$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be a *proper ideal* of  $R$  if  $I \neq R$ . As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  will denote integers, and integers modulo  $n$ , respectively. Some of our examples use the  $R(+)M$  construction as in [5]. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then  $R(+)M = R \times M$  is a ring with identity  $(1, 0)$  under addition defined by  $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r + s, m + n)$  and multiplication defined by  $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, rn + sm)$ . Note that  $(0(+)M)^2 = 0$ ; so  $0(+)M \subseteq \text{Nil}(R(+)M)$ .

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF WEAKLY 2-ABSORBING IDEALS

It is clear that every 2-absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . If  $R$  is any commutative ring, then  $I = \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by definition. If  $I = \{0\}$ , then  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ , but  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . The following is an example of a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing ideal that is not a 2-absorbing ideal (also see Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.13).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $M = \{0, 4\}$ . Then  $M$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_8(+)M$  and let  $I = \{(0, 0), (0, 4)\}$ . Since  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R \setminus I$  if and only if  $abc = (0, 0)$ , we conclude that  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(2, 0)(2, 0)(2, 0) \in I$  and  $(4, 0) \notin I$ ,  $I$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . For an infinite weakly 2-absorbing ideal that is not a 2-absorbing ideal, let  $M$  be as above and  $K = M[X]$ . Then  $K$  is an infinite ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_8[X]$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_8(+)K$  and let  $I = \{0\}(+)K$ . Then  $I$  is an infinite ideal of  $R$ . Again, since  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R \setminus I$  if and only if  $abc = (0, 0)$ ,  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

We start with the following trivial lemma that we omit its proof.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are two distinct weakly prime ideals of a commutative ring  $R$ , then  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

Let  $I$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $a, b, c \in R$ . We say  $(a, b, c)$  is a *triple-zero* of  $I$  if  $abc = 0$ ,  $ab \notin I$ ,  $bc \notin I$ , and  $ac \notin I$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$  and suppose that  $(a, b, c)$  is a triple-zero of  $I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . Then*

- (1)  $abI = bcI = acI = \{0\}$ .
- (2)  $aI^2 = bI^2 = cI^2 = \{0\}$ .

PROOF. (1). Suppose that  $abi \neq 0$  for some  $i \in I$ . Then  $ab(c+i) \neq 0$ . Since  $ab \notin I$ , we conclude that either  $a(c+i) \in I$  or  $b(c+i) \in I$ , and hence  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus  $abI = \{0\}$ . Similarly, one can show that  $bcI = acI = \{0\}$ .

(2). Suppose that  $ai_1i_2 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Since  $abI = acI = bcI = \{0\}$  by (1), we conclude that  $a(b+i_1)(c+i_2) = ai_1i_2 \neq 0$ . Hence either  $a(b+i_1) \in I$  or  $a(c+i_2) \in I$  or  $(b+i_1)(c+i_2) \in I$ , and thus either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus  $aI^2 = \{0\}$ . Similarly,  $bI^2 = cI^2 = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal. Then  $I^3 = \{0\}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $I$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ ,  $I$  has a triple-zero  $(a, b, c)$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . Suppose that  $i_1i_2i_3 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in I$ . Then by Theorem 2.3 we have  $(a+i_1)(b+i_2)(c+i_3) = i_1i_2i_3 \neq 0$ . Hence either  $(a+i_1)(b+i_2) \in I$  or  $(a+i_1)(c+i_3) \in I$  or  $(b+i_2)(c+i_3) \in I$ , and thus either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $I^3 = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . If  $I$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then  $I \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ .*

It should be noted that a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $I^3 = 0$  need not be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . We have the following example.

**Example 2.6.**  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ . Then  $I = \{0, 8\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$  and  $I^3 = 0$ , but  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 8 \in I$  and  $4 \notin I$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $I$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal. Then*

- (1) *If  $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$ , then either  $w^2 \in I$  or  $w^2I = wI^2 = \{0\}$ .*
- (2)  $\text{Nil}(R)^2I^2 = \{0\}$ .

PROOF. (1). Let  $w \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . First, we show that if  $w^2I \neq \{0\}$ , then  $w^2 \in I$ . Hence assume that  $w^2I \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $n$  be the least positive integer such that  $w^n = 0$ . Then  $n \geq 3$  and for some  $i \in I$  we have  $w^2(i + w^{n-2}) = w^2i \neq 0$ . Hence either  $w^2 \in I$  or  $(wi + w^{n-1}) \in I$ . If  $w^2 \in I$ , then we are done. Thus assume  $(wi + w^{n-1}) \in I$ . Hence  $w^{n-1} \in I$  and  $w^{n-1} \neq 0$ , and thus  $w^2 \in I$ . Hence for each

$w \in \text{Nil}(R)$ , we have either  $w^2 \in I$  or  $w^2I = \{0\}$ . Now assume that  $v^2 \notin I$  for some  $v \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . Then  $v^2I = \{0\}$ . We will show that  $vI^2 = \{0\}$ . Assume that  $vi_1i_2 \neq 0$  for some  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ . Let  $m$  be the least positive integer such that  $v^m = 0$ . Since  $v^2 \notin I$ ,  $m \geq 3$  and  $v^2I = 0$ . Hence  $v(v+i_1)(v^{m-2}+i_2) = vi_1i_2 \neq 0$ . Since  $0 \neq v(v+i_1)(v^{m-2}+i_2) \in I$ , one can conclude that either  $v^2 \in I$  or  $v^{m-1} \neq 0$  and  $v^{m-1} \in I$ . Hence in both cases, we have  $v^2 \in I$ , a contradiction. Thus  $vI^2 = \{0\}$ .

(2). Let  $a, b \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . If either  $a^2 \notin I$  or  $b^2 \notin I$ , then  $abI^2 = \{0\}$  by (1). Hence suppose that  $a^2 \in I$  and  $b^2 \in I$ . Then  $ab(a+b) \in I$ . If  $(a, b, a+b)$  is a triple-zero of  $I$ , then  $abI = \{0\}$  by Theorem 2.3(1), and hence  $abI^2 = \{0\}$ . If  $(a, b, a+b)$  is not a triple-zero of  $I$ , then one can easily see that  $ab \in I$ , and hence  $abI^2 = \{0\}$  by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Suppose that  $A, B, C$  are weakly 2-absorbing ideals of a ring  $R$  such that none of them is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Then  $A^2BC = AB^2C = ABC^2 = A^2B^2 = A^2C^2 = B^2C^2 = \{0\}$*

If  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of a ring  $R$ , then there are at most two prime ideals of  $R$  that are minimal over  $I$  (see [4, Theorem 2.3] and [3, Theorem 2.5]). In the following result, we show that for every  $n \geq 2$ , there is a ring  $R$  and a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing ideal  $I$  of  $R$  such that there are exactly  $n$  prime ideals of  $R$  that are minimal over  $I$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then there is a ring  $R$  and a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing  $I$  ideal of  $R$  such that there are exactly  $n$  prime ideals of  $R$  that are minimal over  $I$ .*

PROOF. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $D = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_8$  ( $n$  times). Let  $M = \{0, 4\}$  an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ . For every  $x = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$ , define  $xM = a_1M$ . Then  $M$  is a  $D$ -module. Now consider the idealization ring  $R = D(+)M$  and  $I = \{(0, \dots, 0)\}(+)M$ . We note that if  $a, b, c \in R \setminus I$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $abc = ((0, \dots, 0), 0)$ . Hence  $I$  is a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since every prime ideal of  $R$  is of the form  $P(+)M$  for some prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$  by [5, Theorem 25.1 (3)], we conclude that there are exactly  $n$  prime ideals of  $R$  that are minimal over  $I$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  be a decomposable commutative ring and  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R_1$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I \times R_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
- (3)  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $I \times R_2 \not\subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ ,  $I \times R_2$  must be a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Corollary 2.5. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). The claim is clear. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$ , then it is easily verified that  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , and thus  $I \times R_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are commutative rings with identity. Let  $I_1$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $R_1$  and  $J$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R_2$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I \times J$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $J = R_2$  and  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$  or  $J$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$  and  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$ .
- (3)  $I \times J$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose that  $I \times J$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . If  $J = R_2$ , then  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$  by Theorem 2.10. Suppose that  $J \neq R_2$ . We show that  $J$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$  and  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$ . Let  $a, b \in R_2$  such that  $ab \in J$ , and let  $0 \neq i \in I$ . Then  $(i, 1)(1, a)(1, b) = (i, ab) \in I \times J \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Since  $(1, a)(1, b) = (1, ab) \notin I_1 \times J$ , we conclude that either  $(i, 1)(1, a) = (i, a) \in I \times J$  or  $(i, 1)(1, b) = (i, b) \in I \times J$ , and hence either  $a \in J$  or  $b \in J$ . Thus  $J$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ . Similarly, let  $c, d \in R_1$  such that  $cd \in I$ , and let  $0 \neq j \in J$ . Then  $(c, 1)(d, 1)(1, j) = (cd, j) \in I \times J \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Since  $(c, 1)(d, 1) = (cd, 1) \notin I \times J$ , we conclude that either  $(c, 1)(1, j) = (c, j) \in I \times J$  or  $(d, 1)(1, j) = (d, j) \in I \times J$ , and thus either  $c \in I$  or  $d \in I$ . Hence  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$ . (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $J = R_2$  and  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$ , then  $I \times R_2$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.10. Suppose that  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $J$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ . Suppose that  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2)(a_3, b_3) \in I \times J$  for some  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R_1$  and for some  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in R_2$ . Then at least one of the  $a_i$ 's is in  $I$ , say  $a_1$ , and at least one of the  $b_i$ 's is in  $J$ , say  $b_2$ . Thus  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) \in I \times J$ . Hence  $I \times J$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). No comments.  $\square$

The following example shows that the hypothesis that  $J$  is a nonzero ideal of  $R_2$  in Theorem 2.11 is crucial.

**Example 2.12.** *Let  $R_1 = \mathbb{Z}_8(+ )M$  and  $I = \{0\}(+ )M$  as in example 2.1. Let  $R_2$  be a field. Then  $I \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1 \times R_2$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1 \times R_2$ . Observe that  $I$  is not a prime ideal of  $R_1$ .*

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$  be a commutative ring. Let  $I$  be a nonzero proper ideal of  $R_1$  and  $J$  be an ideal of  $R_2$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I \times J$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal.
- (2)  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R_1$  that is not a prime ideal and  $J = \{0\}$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume that  $I \times J$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal. Suppose that  $J \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $I \times J$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.11, which contradicts the hypothesis. Thus  $J = \{0\}$ . We show that  $J = \{0\}$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$  (and hence  $R_2$  is an integral domain). Suppose that  $ab \in J = \{0\}$  for some  $a, b \in R_2$ . Let  $0 \neq i \in I$ . Since  $(i, 1)(1, a)(1, b) = (i, ab) \in I \times J \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $(1, a)(1, b) = (1, ab) \notin I \times J$ , we conclude that either  $(i, 1)(1, a) = (i, a) \in I \times J$  or  $(i, 1)(1, b) = (i, b) \in I \times J$ , and thus  $a \in J$  or  $b \in J$ . Hence  $J = \{0\}$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ . We show that  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R_1$ . Suppose that  $ab \in I \setminus \{0\}$  for some  $a, b \in R_1$ . Since  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(1, 0) = (ab, 0) \in I \times \{0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $(a, 1)(b, 1) = (ab, 1) \notin I \times \{0\}$ , we conclude that either  $(a, 1)(1, 0) = (a, 0) \in I \times \{0\}$  or  $(b, 1)(1, 0) = (b, 0) \in I \times \{0\}$ , and thus either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . Hence  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R_1$ . If  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$ , then it is easily verified that  $I \times \{0\}$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , which is a contradiction. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R_1$  that is not a prime ideal and  $J = \{0\}$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ . We show that  $I \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $(a, b)(c, d)(e, f) = (ace, bdf) \in I \times \{0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly prime of  $R_1$ , we may assume  $a \in I$ . Since  $R_2$  is an integral domain, we may assume  $d = 0$ . Hence  $(a, b)(c, d) = (a, b)(c, 0) = (ac, 0) \in I \times \{0\}$ . Thus  $I \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . We show that  $I \times \{0\}$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly prime ideal of  $R_1$  that is not a prime ideal, there are  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $ab = 0$  but neither  $a \in I$  nor  $b \in I$ . Since  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(1, 0) = (0, 0)$  and neither  $(a, 1)(b, 1) = (ab, 1) \in I \times \{0\}$  nor  $(a, 1)(1, 0) = (a, 0) \in I \times \{0\}$  nor  $(b, 1)(1, 0) = (b, 0) \in I \times \{0\}$ , we conclude that  $I \times \{0\}$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

Let  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  be commutative rings with identity and set  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$ . An ideal  $I$  of  $R$  will have the form  $I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$  where  $I_1, I_2$  and  $I_3$  are ideals of  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$ , respectively. The next two theorems show that weakly 2-absorbing ideals are really of interest in rings of this form.

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$  where  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  are commutative rings with identity. If  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , then either  $I = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ , or  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal in any ring, we may assume that  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \neq \{(0,0,0)\}$ . Since  $I \neq \{(0,0,0)\}$ , there is an element  $(0,0,0) \neq (a,b,c) \in I$ . Then  $(a,1,1)(1,b,1)(1,1,c) = (a,b,c)$ , and hence either  $(a,b,1) \in I$  or  $(a,1,c) \in I$  or  $(1,b,c) \in I$ . If  $(a,b,1) \in I$ , then  $I_3 = R_3$ . Likewise if  $(a,1,c) \in I$  or  $(1,b,c) \in I$ , then  $I_2 = R_2$  or  $I_1 = R_1$ , respectively. So  $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times R_3$  or  $I = I_1 \times R_2 \times I_3$  or  $I = R_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$ . Hence  $I \not\subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ . Since  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  and  $I \not\subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ ,  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Corollary 2.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$  where  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  are commutative rings with identity. Let  $I_1$  be a proper ideal of  $R_1$ ,  $I_2$  be an ideal of  $R_2$ , and  $I_3$  be an ideal of  $R_3$  such that  $L = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \neq \{(0,0,0)\}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $L = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
- (2)  $L = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .
- (3)  $L = I_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$  and  $I_1$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$  or  $L = I_1 \times I_2 \times R_3$  such that  $I_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $I_2$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$  or  $L = I_1 \times R_2 \times I_3$  such that  $I_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $I_3$  is a prime ideal of  $R_3$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since  $L$  is a nonzero weakly 2-absorbing ideal,  $L$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.14. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since  $L$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ ,  $I_1$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$ . Since  $I_1$  is a proper ideal of  $R_1$ , by the proof of Theorem 2.14 either  $I_2 = R_2$  or  $I_3 = R_3$ . Assume that  $I_2 \neq R_2$  and  $I_3 = R_3$ . We show that  $I_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $I_2$  is a prime of  $R_2$ . Let  $a, b \in R_1$  such that  $ab \in I_1$ , and let  $c, d \in R_2$  such that  $cd \in I_2$ . Then  $(a,1,1)(1,cd,1)(b,1,1) = (ab,cd,1) \in L \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}$ . Since  $(a,1,1)(b,1,1) \notin L$ , we have  $(a,1,1)(1,cd,1) = (a,cd,1) \in L$  or  $(1,cd,1)(b,1,1) = (b,cd,1) \in L$ , and hence  $a \in I_1$  or  $b \in I_1$ . Thus  $I_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$ . Similarly, since  $(ab,1,1)(1,c,1)(1,d,1) = (ab,cd,1) \in L \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}$  and  $(1,c,1)(1,d,1) = (1,cd,1) \notin L$ , we conclude that either  $(ab,1,1)(1,c,1) = (ab,c,1) \in L$  or  $(ab,1,1)(1,d,1) = (ab,d,1) \in L$ , and hence either  $c \in I_2$  or  $d \in I_2$ . Thus  $I_2$  is a prime ideal of  $R_2$ . Finally, assume  $I_2 = R_2$  and  $I_3 \neq R_3$ . By an argument similar to that we applied on the ideal  $I_1 \times I_2 \times R_3$ , we conclude that  $I_1$  is a prime ideal of  $R_1$  and  $I_3$  is a prime ideal of  $R_3$ . (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $L$  is one of the given three forms, then it is easily verified that  $L$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , and hence  $L$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.16.** *Let  $A$  be a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a commutative ring  $R$ . Then:*

- (1) If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  with  $I \subseteq A$ , then  $A/I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R/I$ .
- (2) If  $R_0$  is a subring of  $R$ , then  $A \cap R_0$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_0$ .
- (3) If  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$  with  $A \cap S = \emptyset$ , then  $A_S$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_S$ .

PROOF. (1). Let  $\bar{R} = R/I$ ,  $\bar{A} = A/I$ , and pick  $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c} \in \bar{R}$  such that  $0 \neq \bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} \in \bar{A}$ . Since  $\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c} \neq 0$ , we have  $abc \in R - I$ . Hence  $0 \neq abc \in A$ . Since  $A$  is weakly 2-absorbing, we have  $ab \in A$  or  $ac \in A$  or  $bc \in A$ . Consequently,  $\bar{a}\bar{b} \in \bar{A}$  or  $\bar{a}\bar{c} \in \bar{A}$  or  $\bar{b}\bar{c} \in \bar{A}$ . (2). The proof is straightforward. (3). Suppose that  $0 \neq (x/r)(y/s)(z/t) \in A_S$  where  $x, y, z \in R$  and  $r, s, t \in S$  but  $(x/r)(y/s) \notin A_S$  and  $(x/r)(z/s) \notin A_S$ . Then  $(xyz)/(rst) = a/u$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $u \in S$ . So there exists  $v \in S$  with  $vuxyz = vrsta \in A$ . Thus we have  $0 \neq (vux)yz \in A$  but  $(vux)y \notin A$  and  $(vux)z \notin A$ . Since  $A$  is weakly 2-absorbing, it follows that  $yz \in A$ , that is  $(y/s)(z/t) \in A_S$ .  $\square$

### 3. RINGS WITH THE PROPERTY THAT ALL PROPER IDEALS ARE WEAKLY 2-ABSORBING

For a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $J(R)$  denotes the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $a, b, c \in J(R)$ . Then the ideal  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $abc = 0$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a, b, c \in J(R)$ . If  $abc = 0$ , then  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Now suppose that  $abc \neq 0$  and  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  and  $0 \neq abc \in abcR$ , we conclude that either  $ab \in abcR$  or  $ac \in abcR$  or  $bc \in abcR$ . Without lost of generality, we may assume that  $ab \in abcR$ . Thus  $ab = abck$  for some  $k \in R$ , and hence  $ab(1 - ck) = 0$ . Since  $ck \in J(R)$ ,  $1 - ck$  is a unit of  $R$ . Thus  $ab(1 - ck) = 0$  implies that  $ab = 0$ , and thus  $abc = 0$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $abc = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(R, M)$  be a quasi-local ring. Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is weakly 2-absorbing if and only if  $M^3 = \{0\}$ .*

PROOF. Assume that every proper ideal of  $R$  is weakly 2-absorbing. Let  $a, b, c \in M$ . Since  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ ,  $abc = 0$  by Lemma 3.1. Thus  $M^3 = \{0\}$ . Conversely, assume that  $M^3 = \{0\}$ , and let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  such that  $I \neq \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $abc \in I$  and  $abc \neq 0$ . Since  $M^3 = \{0\}$  and  $abc \neq 0$ ,  $a$  is a unit of  $R$  or  $b$  is a unit of  $R$  or  $c$  is a unit of  $R$ , and thus either  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc \in I$ . Hence  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$



**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(R, M)$  be a quasi-local ideal of  $R$  such that  $M^2 = \{0\}$ . Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .*

PROOF. Let  $I$  be a proper ideal of  $R$  and suppose that  $abc \in I$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . Since  $M^3 = \{0\}$ ,  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3.2. Hence if  $abc \in I \setminus \{0\}$ , then there is nothing to prove. Thus assume that  $abc = 0$ . Since  $M^2 = \{0\}$  and  $abc = 0$ , either  $ab = 0 \in I$  or  $ac \in I$  or  $bc = 0 \in I$ . Thus  $I$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(R_1, M_1)$  and  $(R_2, M_2)$  be quasi-local commutative rings with maximal ideals  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively, and let  $R = R_1 \times R_2$ . Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $M_1^2 = M_2^2 = \{0\}$  and either  $R_1$  or  $R_2$  is a field.*

PROOF. Suppose that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Let  $a, b \in M_1$  and suppose that  $ab \neq 0$ . Then  $I = abR_1 \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(a, 1)(b, 1)(1, 0) = (ab, 0) \in I \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  and  $(a, 1)(b, 1) \notin I$ , either  $(a, 1)(1, 0) = (a, 0) \in I$  or  $(b, 1)(1, 0) = (b, 0) \in I$ . Assume that  $(a, 0) \in I$ . Then  $a = abk$  for some  $k \in R_1$ . Hence  $a(1 - bk) = 0$ . Since  $1 - bk$  is a unit of  $R_1$ ,  $a = 0$  which is a contradiction. Also, if  $(b, 0) \in I$ , then one can conclude that  $b = 0$  which is a contradiction again. Thus  $M_1^2 = \{0\}$ . Now assume  $a, b \in M_2$  such that  $ab \neq 0$ . Then  $I = \{0\} \times abR_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $(1, a)(1, b)(0, 1) = (0, ab) \in I$ , by an argument similar to that we applied on  $M_1$  we conclude that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $M_2^2 = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $R_1$  is not a field. We show that  $R_2$  is a field. Since  $R_1$  is not a field,  $M_1 \neq \{0\}$  and  $J = M_1 \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $R_2$  is not a field. Since  $M_2^2 = \{0\}$  and  $R_2$  is not a field, there is a  $c \in M_2$  such that  $c \neq 0$  and  $c^2 = 0$ . Let  $m \in M_1$  such that  $m \neq 0$ . Then  $(m, 1)(1, c)(1, c) = (m, c^2) = (m, 0) \in J = M_1 \times \{0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , but neither  $(m, 1)(1, c) = (m, c) \in J$  nor  $(1, c)(1, c) = (1, c) \in J$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $M_2 = \{0\}$ , and thus  $R_2$  is a field. Conversely, suppose that  $M_1^2 = \{0\}$  and  $R_2$  is a field. Since  $M_1^2 = \{0\}$ , every proper ideal of  $R_1$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$  by Corollary 3.3. Since  $M_1^2 = \{0\}$  and  $R_2$  is a field, the ideal  $\{0\} \times R_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R_2$  is a field, the ideal  $R_1 \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Let  $J$  be a proper ideal of  $R_1$  such that  $J \neq \{0\}$ . Since  $J$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R_1$ ,  $J \times R_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 2.10. Finally, we show that  $I = J \times \{0\}$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2)(a_3, b_3) \in R \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$  for some  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R_1$  and for some  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in R_2$ . Since  $M_1^2 = \{0\}$ , only one of the  $a_i$ 's is in  $M_1$ , say  $a_1 \in M_1$  and  $a_2, a_3$  are units of  $R_1$ . Since  $a_1a_2a_3 \in J$  and  $a_2, a_3$  are units of  $R_1$ ,

$a_1 \in J$ . Since  $R_2$  is a field and  $b_1b_2b_3 = 0$ , at least one of the  $b_i$ 's is equal to 0, say  $b_2 = 0$ . Hence  $(a_1, b_1)(a_2, 0) = (a_1a_2, 0) \in I$ . Thus  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$  be commutative rings, and let  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times R_3$ . Then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R_1, R_2, R_3$  are fields.*

PROOF. If  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are fields, then by [4, Theorem 3.4(3)] every nonzero proper ideal of  $R$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , and hence every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Conversely, suppose that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  and one of the  $R_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , is not a field. Without loss of generality, we may assume  $R_1$  is not a field. Hence  $R_1$  has a proper ideal  $J$  such that  $J \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $I = J \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ . Then  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Let  $m \in J$  such that  $m \neq 0$ . Then  $(m, 1, 1)(1, 0, 1)(1, 1, 0) = (m, 0, 0) \in I \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  but neither  $(m, 1, 1)(1, 0, 1) = (m, 0, 1) \in I$  nor  $(m, 1, 1)(1, 1, 0) = (m, 1, 0) \in I$  nor  $(1, 0, 1)(1, 1, 0) = (1, 0, 0) \in I$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $R_1, R_2$ , and  $R_3$  are fields.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal. Then  $R$  has at most three maximal ideals.*

PROOF. Suppose that  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4$  are distinct maximal ideals of  $R$ . Let  $I = M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3$ . Since there are three prime ideals of  $R$  that are minimal over  $I$ ,  $I$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by [3, Theorem 2.5]. Hence  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Thus  $I^3 = \{0\}$  by Theorem 2.4. Hence  $I^3 = M_1^3 M_2^3 M_3^3 = \{0\} \subset M_4$ , and thus one of the  $M_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , is contained in  $M_4$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $R$  has at most three distinct maximal ideals.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *A commutative ring  $R$  has the property that every proper ideal is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if one of the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $(R, M)$  is a quasi-local ring with  $M^3 = 0$ .
- (2)  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R_1 \times F$ , where  $R_1$  is a quasi-local ring with maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $M^2 = \{0\}$  and  $F$  is a field.
- (3)  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3$ , where  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  are fields.

PROOF. If  $R$  satisfies condition (1), then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3.2. If  $R$  satisfies condition (2), then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3.4. If  $R$  satisfies

condition (3), then every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by Theorem 3.5. Conversely, suppose that every proper ideal of  $R$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal. Then  $R$  has at most three maximal ideals by Lemma 3.6. Hence we consider the following three cases: **Case 1.** Suppose that  $R$  has exactly one maximal ideal, call it  $M$ . Then  $M^3 = \{0\}$  by Theorem 3.2. **Case 2.** Suppose that  $R$  has exactly two maximal ideals, say  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are the maximal ideals of  $R$ . Then  $J(R) = M_1 \cap M_2$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  (in fact,  $J(R)$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ ). We show  $J(R)^3 = \{0\}$ . Let  $a, b, c \in J(R)$ . Since  $abcR$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ , we conclude that  $abc = 0$  by Lemma 3.1. Thus  $J(R)^3 = M_1^3 \cap M_2^3 = \{0\}$ . Hence  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R/M_1^3 \times R/M_2^3$ . Since  $R/M_1^3$  and  $R/M_2^3$  are quasi-local commutative rings, we conclude that  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R_1 \times F$ , where  $R_1$  is quasi-local ring with maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $M^2 = \{0\}$  and  $F$  is a field by Theorem 3.4. **Case 3.** Suppose that  $R$  has exactly three maximal ideals, say  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  are the maximal ideals of  $R$ . Hence  $J(R) = M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$ . Since  $J(R)$  is the intersection of three prime ideals of  $R$ ,  $J(R)$  is not a 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  by [4]. Hence  $J(R)^3 = \{0\}$  by Theorem 2.4. Since  $J(R)^3 = M_1^3 \cap M_2^3 \cap M_3^3 = \{0\}$ , we conclude that  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $R/M_1^3 \times R/M_2^3 \times R/M_3^3$ . Thus  $R$  is ring-isomorphic to  $F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3$ , where  $F_1, F_2, F_3$  are fields by Theorem 3.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then every proper ideal of  $R = \mathbb{Z}_n$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of  $R$  if and only if either  $n = q^3$  for some prime positive integer  $q$  or  $n = q^2p$  for some distinct prime positive integers  $q, p$  or  $n = q_1q_2q_3$  for some distinct prime positive integers  $q_1, q_2, q_3$ .*

Let  $I$  be a 2-absorbing ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  and suppose that  $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of  $R$ . Then by [4] either  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ . We are unable to answer the following question:

**Question.** Suppose that  $I$  is a weakly 2-absorbing ideal of a commutative ring  $R$  that is not a 2-absorbing ideal and  $0 \neq I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq I$  for some ideals  $I_1, I_2$ , and  $I_3$  of  $R$ . Does it imply that  $I_1I_2 \subseteq I$  or  $I_1I_3 \subseteq I$  or  $I_2I_3 \subseteq I$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Anderson and M. Bataineh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 686-696.
- [2] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29** (4) (2003), 831-840.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On  $n$ -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, accepted, to appear in Comm. Algebra.

- [4] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417–429.
- [5] J. Huckaba, *Commutative rings with Zero-Divisors*, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basil, 1988.

Received April 6, 2010

AMERICAN UNIV OF SHARJAH, DEPT OF MATH, BOX 26666, SHARJAH, UAE  
*E-mail address*: `abadawi@aus.edu`

UNIVERSITY OF MOHAGHEGH ARDABILI, DEPT OF MATH, P. O. BOX 179, ARDABIL, IRAN  
*E-mail address*: `yousefian@uma.ac.ir`, `youseffian@gmail.com`